EXCERPT OF SEPA SECTIONS (INCLUDING **VETO MESSAGE)**

CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SECOND ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6406

Chapter 1, Laws of 2012

(partial veto)

62nd Legislature 2012 1st Special Session

NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

EFFECTIVE DATE: 07/10/12

Passed by the Senate April 10, 2012 YEAS 34 NAYS 13

BRAD OWEN

President of the Senate

Passed by the House April 10, 2012 YEAS 75 NAYS 23

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved May 2, 2012, 1:36 p.m., with the exception of Sections 305 and 306 which are vetoed.

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

CERTIFICATE

I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SECOND ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6406** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

THOMAS HOEMANN

Secretary

1FILED

May 2, 2012

Secretary of State State of Washington

SECOND ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6406

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2012 1st Special Session

State of Washington 62nd

62nd Legislature 2012 1st Special Session

By Senate Energy, Natural Resources & Marine Waters (originally sponsored by Senators Hargrove, Hobbs, Delvin, Hatfield, Tom, Stevens, Regala, Morton, Ranker, and Shin)

READ FIRST TIME 02/03/12.

10

- AN ACT Relating to modifying programs that provide for the 1 2 protection of the state's natural resources; amending RCW 77.55.021, 3 77.55.151, 77.55.231, 76.09.040, 76.09.050, 76.09.150, 76.09.065, 76.09.470, 76.09.030, 43.21C.031, 43.21C.229, 82.02.020, 36.70A.490, 4 36.70A.500, 43.21C.110, 43.21C.095, and 90.48.260; reenacting and 5 amending RCW 77.55.011, 76.09.060, and 76.09.020; adding new sections 6 to chapter 77.55 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 76.09 RCW; adding 7 8 a new section to chapter 43.30 RCW; adding new sections to chapter 9 43.21C RCW; creating new sections; prescribing penalties; providing a
- 11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

contingent effective date; and providing expiration dates.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature finds that significant 12 opportunities exist to modify programs that provide for management and 13 14 protection of the state's natural resources, including the state's 15 forests, fish, and wildlife, in order to streamline regulatory 16 processes and achieve program efficiencies while at the same time increasing the sustainability of program funding and maintaining 17 current levels of natural resource protection. The legislature intends 18 19 to update provisions relating to natural resource management and 1 regulatory programs including the hydraulic project approval program, 2 forest practices act, and state environmental policy act, in order to 3 achieve these opportunities.

PART THREE

State Environmental Policy Act and Local Development Regulations

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 301. (1) The legislature recognizes that the rule-based categorical exemption thresholds to chapter 43.21C RCW, found in WAC 197-11-800, have not been updated in recent years, and should be reviewed in light of the increased environmental protections in place under chapters 36.70A and 90.58 RCW, and other laws. It is the intent of the legislature to direct the department of ecology to conduct two phases of rule making over the next two years to increase the thresholds for these categorical exemptions.
- 10 (2) By December 31, 2012, the department of ecology shall increase the rule-based categorical exemptions to chapter 43.21C RCW found in WAC 197-11-800 and update the environmental checklist found in WAC 197-13 11-960. In updating the categorical exemptions, the department of ecology must:
- 15 (a) At a minimum, increase the existing maximum threshold levels 16 for the following project types:
- 17 (i) The construction or location of single-family residential developments;
- 19 (ii) The construction or location of multifamily residential 20 developments;
 - (iii) The construction of an agricultural structure, other than a feed lot, that is similar to the following: A barn, a loafing shed, a farm equipment storage building, or a produce storing or packing structure;
- (iv) The construction of the following, including any associated parking areas or facilities: An office, a school, a commercial building, a recreational building, a service building, or a storage building;
 - (v) Landfilling or excavation activities; and
- 30 (vi) The installation of an electric facility, lines, equipment, or appurtenances, other than substations.
- 32 (b) Establish maximum exemption levels for action types that differ 33 based on whether the project is proposed to occur in:
 - (i) An incorporated city;

1

2

4 5

6

7

9

21

22

23

24

29

- 35 (ii) An unincorporated area within an urban growth area;
- 36 (iii) An unincorporated area outside of an urban growth area but 37 within a county planning under chapter 36.70A RCW; or

1 (iv) An unincorporated area within a county not planning under 2 chapter 36.70A RCW.

- (c) In updating the environmental checklist found in WAC 197-11-960, the department of ecology shall:
 - (i) Improve efficiency of the environmental checklist; and
- (ii) Not include any new subjects into the scope of the checklist, including climate change and greenhouse gases.
 - (d) Until the completion of the rule making required under this section, a city or county may apply the highest categorical exemption levels authorized under WAC 197-11-800 to any action, regardless if the city or county with jurisdiction has exercised its authority to raise the exemption levels above the established minimums, unless the city or county with jurisdiction passes an ordinance or resolution that lowers the exemption levels to a level below the allowed maximum but not less than the default minimum levels detailed in WAC 197-11-800.
 - (3) (a) By December 31, 2013, the department of ecology shall:
- (i) Update, but not decrease, the thresholds for all other project actions not specified in subsection (2) of this section;
- (ii) Propose methods for integrating the state environmental policy act process with provisions of the growth management act, chapter 36.70A RCW, including consideration of ways to revise WAC 197-11-210 through 197-11-232 to further the goals of RCW 43.21C.240; and
- (iii) Create categorical exemptions for minor code amendments for which review under chapter 43.21C RCW would not be required because they do not lessen environmental protection.
- (b) During this process, the department of ecology may also review and update the thresholds resulting from the 2012 rule-making process outlined in subsection (2) of this section.
- (4) (a) The department of ecology shall convene an advisory committee consisting of members representing, at minimum, cities, counties, business interests, environmental interests, agricultural interests, cultural resources interests, state agencies, and tribal governments to:
- (i) Assist in updating the environmental checklist and updating the thresholds for other project actions for both rule-making processes under subsections (2) and (3) of this section;
 - (ii) Ensure that state agencies and other interested parties can

- receive notice about projects of interest through notice under chapter 43.21C RCW and means other than chapter 43.21C RCW; and
 - (iii) Ensure that federally recognized tribes receive notice about projects that impact tribal interests through notice under chapter 43.21C RCW and means other than chapter 43.21C RCW.
 - (b) Advisory committee members must have direct experience with the implementation or application of the state environmental policy act.
 - (5) This section expires July 31, 2014.

- **Sec. 302.** RCW 43.21C.031 and 1995 c 347 s 203 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) An environmental impact statement (the detailed statement required by RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c)) shall be prepared on proposals for legislation and other major actions having a probable significant, adverse environmental impact. The environmental impact statement may be combined with the recommendation or report on the proposal or issued as a separate document. The substantive decisions or recommendations shall be clearly identifiable in the combined document. Actions categorically exempt under RCW 43.21C.110(1)(a) and section 307 of this act do not require environmental review or the preparation of an environmental impact statement under this chapter. ((In a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040, a planned action, as provided for in subsection (2) of this section, does not require a threshold determination or the preparation of an environmental impact statement under this chapter, but is subject to environmental review and mitigation as provided in this chapter.))
 - (2) An environmental impact statement is required to analyze only those probable adverse environmental impacts which are significant. Beneficial environmental impacts may be discussed. The responsible official shall consult with agencies and the public to identify such impacts and limit the scope of an environmental impact statement. The subjects listed in RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c) need not be treated as separate sections of an environmental impact statement. Discussions of significant short-term and long-term environmental impacts, significant irrevocable commitments of natural resources, significant alternatives including mitigation measures, and significant environmental impacts which cannot be mitigated should be consolidated or included, as

applicable, in those sections of an environmental impact statement where the responsible official decides they logically belong.

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

2223

2425

2829

30

3132

3334

35

- (((2)(a) For purposes of this section, a planned action means one or more types of project action that:
- (i) Are designated planned actions by an ordinance or resolution adopted by a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040;
- (ii) Have had the significant impacts adequately addressed in an environmental impact statement prepared in conjunction with (Λ) a comprehensive plan or subarea plan adopted under chapter 36.70Λ RCW, or (Β) a fully contained community, a master planned resort, a master planned development, or a phased project;
- 12 (iii) Are subsequent or implementing projects for the proposals
 13 listed in (a) (ii) of this subsection;
- 14 (iv) Are located within an urban growth area, as defined in RCW 36.70A.030;
- 16 (v) Are not essential public facilities, as defined in RCW 36.70A.200; and
- 18 (vi) Are consistent with a comprehensive plan adopted under chapter
 19 36.70A RCW.
 - (b) A county, city, or town shall limit planned actions to certain types of development or to specific geographical areas that are less extensive than the jurisdictional boundaries of the county, city, or town and may limit a planned action to a time period identified in the environmental impact statement or the ordinance or resolution adopted under this subsection.))
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 303. A new section is added to chapter 43.21C RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) For purposes of this chapter, a planned action means one or more types of development or redevelopment that meet the following criteria:
 - (a) Are designated as planned actions by an ordinance or resolution adopted by a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040;
 - (b) Have had the significant impacts adequately addressed in an environmental impact statement under the requirements of this chapter in conjunction with, or to implement, a comprehensive plan or subarea plan adopted under chapter 36.70A RCW, or a fully contained community,

1 a master planned resort, a master planned development, or a phased 2 project;

- (c) Have had project level significant impacts adequately addressed in an environmental impact statement unless the impacts are specifically deferred for consideration at the project level pursuant to subsection (3) (b) of this section;
- (d) Are subsequent or implementing projects for the proposals listed in (b) of this subsection;
- 9 (e) Are located within an urban growth area designated pursuant to 10 RCW 36.70A.110;
- 11 (f) Are not essential public facilities, as defined in RCW 36.70A.200, unless an essential public facility is accessory to or part of a residential, office, school, commercial, recreational, service, or industrial development that is designated a planned action under this subsection; and
- 16 (g) Are consistent with a comprehensive plan or subarea plan adopted under chapter 36.70A RCW.
 - (2) A county, city, or town shall define the types of development included in the planned action and may limit a planned action to:
 - (a) A specific geographic area that is less extensive than the jurisdictional boundaries of the county, city, or town; or
 - (b) A time period identified in the ordinance or resolution adopted under this subsection.
 - (3) (a) A county, city, or town shall determine during permit review whether a proposed project is consistent with a planned action ordinance adopted by the jurisdiction. To determine project consistency with a planned action ordinance, a county, city, or town may utilize a modified checklist pursuant to the rules adopted to implement RCW 43.21C.110, a form that is designated within the planned action ordinance, or a form contained in agency rules adopted pursuant to RCW 43.21C.120.
 - (b) A county, city, or town is not required to make a threshold determination and may not require additional environmental review, for a proposal that is determined to be consistent with the development or redevelopment described in the planned action ordinance, except for impacts that are specifically deferred to the project level at the time of the planned action ordinance's adoption. At least one community meeting must be held before the notice is issued for the planned action

- ordinance. Notice for the planned action and notice of the community meeting required by this subsection (3)(b) must be mailed or otherwise verifiably provided to: (i) All affected federally recognized tribal governments; and (ii) agencies with jurisdiction over the future development anticipated for the planned action. The determination of consistency, and the adequacy of any environmental review that was specifically deferred, are subject to the type of administrative appeal that the county, city, or town provides for the proposal itself consistent with RCW 36.70B.060.
 - (4) For a planned action ordinance that encompasses the entire jurisdictional boundary of a county, city, or town, at least one community meeting must be held before the notice is issued for the planned action ordinance. Notice for the planned action ordinance and notice of the community meeting required by this subsection must be mailed or otherwise verifiably provided to:
 - (a) All property owners of record within the county, city, or town;
 - (b) All affected federally recognized tribal governments; and
- 18 (c) All agencies with jurisdiction over the future development 19 anticipated for the planned action.
- **Sec. 304.** RCW 43.21C.229 and 2003 c 298 s 1 are each amended to 21 read as follows:
 - (1) In order to accommodate infill development and thereby realize the goals and policies of comprehensive plans adopted according to chapter 36.70A RCW, a city or county planning under RCW 36.70A.040 is authorized by this section to establish categorical exemptions from the requirements of this chapter. An exemption adopted under this section applies even if it differs from the categorical exemptions adopted by rule of the department under RCW 43.21C.110(1)(a). An exemption may be adopted by a city or county under this section if it meets the following criteria:
 - (a) It categorically exempts government action related to development ((that is new residential or mixed-use development)) proposed to fill in an urban growth area, designated according to RCW 36.70A.110, where current density and intensity of use in the area is lower than called for in the goals and policies of the applicable comprehensive plan and the development is either:
 - (i) Residential development;

(ii) Mixed-use development; or

- (iii) Commercial development up to sixty-five thousand square feet, excluding retail development;
 - (b) It does not exempt government action related to development that is inconsistent with the applicable comprehensive plan or would exceed the density or intensity of use called for in the goals and policies of the applicable comprehensive plan; ((and))
- c) The local government considers the specific probable adverse environmental impacts of the proposed action and determines that these specific impacts are adequately addressed by the development regulations or other applicable requirements of the comprehensive plan, subarea plan element of the comprehensive plan, planned action ordinance, or other local, state, or federal rules or laws; and
- $\underline{\text{(d)}(i)}$ The city or county's applicable comprehensive plan was previously subjected to environmental analysis through an environmental impact statement under the requirements of this chapter prior to adoption; or
- (ii) The city or county has prepared an environmental impact statement that considers the proposed use or density and intensity of use in the area proposed for an exemption under this section.
- (2) Any categorical exemption adopted by a city or county under this section shall be subject to the rules of the department adopted according to RCW 43.21C.110(1)(a) that provide exceptions to the use of categorical exemptions adopted by the department.
- 25 *NEW SECTION. Sec. 305. *Sec. 305 was vetoed. See message at end 26 Of chapter.
- 33 *Sec. 306. *Sec. 306 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 307. A new section is added to chapter 43.21C RCW to read as follows:

The following nonproject actions are categorically exempt from the requirements of this chapter:

- (1) Amendments to development regulations that are required to ensure consistency with an adopted comprehensive plan pursuant to RCW 36.70A.040, where the comprehensive plan was previously subjected to environmental review pursuant to this chapter and the impacts associated with the proposed regulation were specifically addressed in the prior environmental review;
- (2) Amendments to development regulations that are required to ensure consistency with a shoreline master program approved pursuant to RCW 90.58.090, where the shoreline master program was previously subjected to environmental review pursuant to this chapter and the impacts associated with the proposed regulation were specifically addressed in the prior environmental review;
- (3) Amendments to development regulations that, upon implementation of a project action, will provide increased environmental protection, limited to the following:
 - (a) Increased protections for critical areas, such as enhanced buffers or setbacks;
 - (b) Increased vegetation retention or decreased impervious surface areas in shoreline jurisdiction; and
- (c) Increased vegetation retention or decreased impervious surface areas in critical areas;
- (4) Amendments to technical codes adopted by a county, city, or town to ensure consistency with minimum standards contained in state law, including the following:
 - (a) Building codes required by chapter 19.27 RCW;
 - (b) Energy codes required by chapter 19.27A RCW; and
- 31 (c) Electrical codes required by chapter 19.28 RCW.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 308. A new section is added to chapter 43.21C RCW to read as follows:
- 34 (1) The lead agency for an environmental review under this chapter 35 utilizing an environmental checklist developed by the department of 36 ecology pursuant to RCW 43.21C.110 may identify within the checklist

provided to applicants instances where questions on the checklist are adequately covered by a locally adopted ordinance, development regulation, land use plan, or other legal authority.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

- (2) If a lead agency identifies an instance as described in subsection (1) of this section, it still must consider whether the action has an impact on the particular element or elements of the environment in question.
- (3) In instances where the locally adopted ordinance, development regulation, land use plan, or other legal authority provide the necessary information to answer a specific question, the lead agency must explain how the proposed project satisfies the underlying local legal authority.
- (4) If the lead agency identifies instances where questions on the checklist are adequately covered by a locally adopted ordinance, development regulation, land use plan, or other legal authority, an applicant may still provide answers to any questions on the checklist.
- (5) Nothing in this section authorizes a lead agency to ignore or delete a question on the checklist.
- (6) Nothing in this section changes the standard for whether an environmental impact statement is required for an action that may have a probable significant, adverse environmental impact pursuant to RCW 43.21C.030.
- 23 (7) Nothing in this section affects the appeal provisions provided in this chapter.
- 25 (8) Nothing in this section modifies existing rules for determining 26 the lead agency, as defined in WAC 197-11-922 through 197-11-948, nor 27 does it modify agency procedures for complying with the state 28 environmental policy act when an agency other than a local government 29 is serving as the lead agency.
- 30 **Sec. 309.** RCW 36.70A.490 and 1995 c 347 s 115 are each amended to read as follows:

The growth management planning and environmental review fund is hereby established in the state treasury. Moneys may be placed in the fund from the proceeds of bond sales, tax revenues, budget transfers, federal appropriations, gifts, or any other lawful source. Moneys in the fund may be spent only after appropriation. Moneys in the fund shall be used to make grants or loans to local governments for the

- purposes set forth in RCW 43.21C.240, 43.21C.031, or 36.70A.500. Any payment of either principal or interest, or both, derived from loans made from this fund must be deposited into the fund.
 - **Sec. 310.** RCW 36.70A.500 and 1997 c 429 s 28 are each amended to read as follows:

4

5

7

8

1011

12

1314

15

1617

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

27

- (1) The department of ((community, trade, and economic development)) commerce shall provide management services for the growth management planning and environmental review fund created by RCW 36.70A.490. The department shall establish procedures for fund management. The department shall encourage participation in the grant or loan program by other public agencies. The department shall develop the grant or loan criteria, monitor the grant or loan program, and select grant or loan recipients in consultation with state agencies participating in the grant or loan program through the provision of grant or loan funds or technical assistance.
- (2) A grant or loan may be awarded to a county or city that is required to or has chosen to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 and that is qualified pursuant to this section. The grant or loan shall be provided to assist a county or city in paying for the cost of preparing an environmental analysis under chapter 43.21C RCW, that is integrated with a comprehensive plan, subarea plan, plan element, countywide planning policy, development regulation, monitoring program, or other planning activity adopted under or implementing this chapter that:
- (a) Improves the process for project permit review while maintaining environmental quality; or
- (b) Encourages use of plans and information developed for purposes of complying with this chapter to satisfy requirements of other state programs.
- 29 (3) In order to qualify for a grant <u>or loan</u>, a county or city 30 shall:
- 31 (a) Demonstrate that it will prepare an environmental analysis 32 pursuant to chapter 43.21C RCW and subsection (2) of this section that 33 is integrated with a comprehensive plan, subarea plan, plan element, 34 countywide planning policy, development regulations, monitoring 35 program, or other planning activity adopted under or implementing this 36 chapter;

(b) Address environmental impacts and consequences, alternatives, and mitigation measures in sufficient detail to allow the analysis to be adopted in whole or in part by applicants for development permits within the geographic area analyzed in the plan;

- (c) Demonstrate that procedures for review of development permit applications will be based on the integrated plans and environmental analysis;
- (d) Include mechanisms to monitor the consequences of growth as it occurs in the plan area and to use the resulting data to update the plan, policy, or implementing mechanisms and associated environmental analysis;
- (e) Demonstrate substantial progress towards compliance with the requirements of this chapter. A county or city that is more than six months out of compliance with a requirement of this chapter is deemed not to be making substantial progress towards compliance; and
- 16 (f) Provide local funding, which may include financial participation by the private sector.
 - (4) In awarding grants <u>or loans</u>, the department shall give preference to proposals that include one or more of the following elements:
- 21 (a) Financial participation by the private sector, or a 22 public/private partnering approach;
 - (b) Identification and monitoring of system capacities for elements of the built environment, and to the extent appropriate, of the natural environment;
- 26 (c) Coordination with state, federal, and tribal governments in project review;
 - (d) Furtherance of important state objectives related to economic development, protection of areas of statewide significance, and siting of essential public facilities;
 - (e) Programs to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the permitting process by greater reliance on integrated plans and prospective environmental analysis;
 - (f) Programs for effective citizen and neighborhood involvement that contribute to greater likelihood that planning decisions can be implemented with community support; ((and))
- 37 (g) Programs to identify environmental impacts and establish

mitigation measures that provide effective means to satisfy concurrency requirements and establish project consistency with the plans; or

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

1112

13

1617

18

1920

21

2223

2425

26

27

2829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

- (h) Environmental review that addresses the impacts of increased density or intensity of comprehensive plans, subarea plans, or receiving areas designated by a city or town under the regional transfer of development rights program in chapter 43.362 RCW.
- (5) If the local funding includes funding provided by other state functional planning programs, including open space planning and watershed or basin planning, the functional plan shall be integrated into and be consistent with the comprehensive plan.
- (6) State agencies shall work with grant or loan recipients to facilitate state and local project review processes that will implement the projects receiving grants or loans under this section.
- 14 **Sec. 311.** RCW 43.21C.110 and 1997 c 429 s 47 are each amended to 15 read as follows:

It shall be the duty and function of the department of ecology:

- (1) To adopt and amend ((thereafter)) rules of interpretation and implementation of this chapter, subject to the requirements of chapter 34.05 RCW, for the purpose of providing uniform rules and guidelines to all branches of government including state agencies, subdivisions, public and municipal corporations, and counties. proposed rules shall be subject to full public hearings requirements associated with rule ((promulgation)) adoption. Suggestions for modifications of the proposed rules shall be considered on their merits, and the department shall have the authority and responsibility for full and appropriate independent ((promulgation and)) adoption of rules, assuring consistency with this chapter as amended and with the preservation of protections afforded by this chapter. The rule-making powers authorized in this section shall include, but shall not be limited to, the following phases of interpretation and implementation of this chapter:
- (a) Categories of governmental actions which are not to be considered as potential major actions significantly affecting the quality of the environment, including categories pertaining to applications for water right permits pursuant to chapters 90.03 and 90.44 RCW. The types of actions included as categorical exemptions in the rules shall be limited to those types which are not major actions

significantly affecting the quality of the environment. The rules shall provide for certain circumstances where actions which potentially are categorically exempt require environmental review. An action that 3 is categorically exempt under the rules adopted by the department may 4 not be conditioned or denied under this chapter.

1 2

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

- Rules for criteria and procedures applicable to determination of when an act of a branch of government is a major action significantly affecting the quality of the environment for which a detailed statement is required to be prepared pursuant to RCW 43.21C.030.
- (c) Rules and procedures applicable to the preparation of detailed statements and other environmental documents, including but not limited to rules for timing of environmental review, obtaining comments, data and other information, and providing for and determining areas of public participation which shall include the scope and review of draft environmental impact statements.
- (d) Scope of coverage and contents of detailed statements assuring that such statements are simple, uniform, and as short as practicable; statements are required to analyze only reasonable alternatives and probable adverse environmental impacts which are significant, and may analyze beneficial impacts.
- (e) Rules and procedures for public notification of actions taken and documents prepared.
- (f) Definition of terms relevant to the implementation of this chapter including the establishment of a list of elements of the environment. Analysis of environmental considerations under RCW 43.21C.030(2) may be required only for those subjects listed as elements of the environment (or portions thereof). The list of elements of the environment shall consist of the "natural" and "built" environment. The elements of the built environment shall consist of public services and utilities (such as water, sewer, schools, fire and police protection), transportation, environmental health (such as explosive materials and toxic waste), and land and shoreline use (including housing, and a description of the relationships with land use and shoreline plans and designations, including population).
- (q) Rules for determining the obligations and powers under this chapter of two or more branches of government involved in the same project significantly affecting the quality of the environment.

(h) Methods to assure adequate public awareness of the preparation and issuance of detailed statements required by RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c).

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

2829

30

31

32

33

3435

36

- (i) To prepare rules for projects setting forth the time limits within which the governmental entity responsible for the action shall comply with the provisions of this chapter.
- (j) Rules for utilization of a detailed statement for more than one action and rules improving environmental analysis of nonproject proposals and encouraging better interagency coordination and integration between this chapter and other environmental laws.
- (k) Rules relating to actions which shall be exempt from the provisions of this chapter in situations of emergency.
- (1) Rules relating to the use of environmental documents in planning and decision making and the implementation of the substantive policies and requirements of this chapter, including procedures for appeals under this chapter.
- (m) Rules and procedures that provide for the integration of environmental review with project review as provided in RCW 43.21C.240. The rules and procedures shall be jointly developed with the department of ((community, trade, and economic development)) commerce and shall be applicable to the preparation of environmental documents for actions in counties, cities, and towns planning under RCW 36.70A.040. The rules and procedures shall also include procedures and criteria to analyze planned actions under ((RCW 43.21C.031(2))) section 303 of this act and revisions to the rules adopted under this section to ensure that they are compatible with the requirements and authorizations of chapter 347, Laws of 1995, as amended by chapter 429, Laws of 1997. Ordinances or procedures adopted by a county, city, or town to implement the provisions of chapter 347, Laws of 1995 prior to the effective date of rules adopted under this subsection (1)(m) shall continue to be effective until the adoption of any new or revised ordinances or procedures that may be required. If any revisions are required as a result of rules adopted under this subsection (1)(m), those revisions shall be made within the time limits specified in RCW 43.21C.120.
- (2) In exercising its powers, functions, and duties under this section, the department may:
- (a) Consult with the state agencies and with representatives of science, industry, agriculture, labor, conservation organizations,

- 1 state and local governments, and other groups, as it deems advisable;
- 2 and
- 3 (b) Utilize, to the fullest extent possible, the services,
- 4 facilities, and information (including statistical information) of
- 5 public and private agencies, organizations, and individuals, in order
- 6 to avoid duplication of effort and expense, overlap, or conflict with
- 7 similar activities authorized by law and performed by established
- 8 agencies.
- 9 (3) Rules adopted pursuant to this section shall be subject to the
- 10 review procedures of chapter 34.05 RCW.
- 11 **Sec. 312.** RCW 43.21C.095 and 1983 c 117 s 5 are each amended to
- 12 read as follows:
- 13 The rules ((promulgated)) adopted under RCW 43.21C.110 shall be
- 14 accorded substantial deference in the interpretation of this chapter.

Passed by the Senate April 10, 2012.

Passed by the House April 10, 2012.

Approved by the Governor May 2, 2012, with the exception of certain items that were vetoed.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 2, 2012.

Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:

"I am returning herewith, without my approval as to Sections 305 and 306, Second Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 6406 entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to modifying programs that provide for protection of the state's natural resources."

This bill streamlines regulatory programs for managing and protecting the state's natural environment while increasing the sustainability of program funding and maintaining current levels of natural resource protection.

Section 301 of the bill requires the Department of Ecology to prepare rules to update the categorical exemptions for environmental review under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), revise the SEPA environmental checklist, and improve integration of SEPA with the provisions of the Growth Management Act. In updating the checklist, Section 301(2)(c) of the bill directs the Department of Ecology to "not include any new subjects into the scope of the checklist, including climate change and greenhouse gases."

I have been assured that the intent of this language is confined to its plain meaning: This subsection addresses only how the Department of Ecology may modify the environmental checklist in its update of WAC

197-11-960. This language does not impact in any way the scope of the environmental analysis required at the threshold determination stage

of the SEPA process or the scope of the environmental analysis required in an environmental impact statement. Letters I have received from legislators involved in the drafting of this language confirm that the Legislature's intent was to address only the scope of the environmental checklist and not to amend any substantive SEPA requirements.

This understanding and interpretation of the bill are set forth in letters to me from legislators directly involved in passage of the legislation, including an April 23, 2012, letter from Senator Sharon Nelson and Representative Dave Upthegrove, respective chairs of the Senate and House Environment Committees; an April 26, 2012, letter from Representatives Richard DeBolt, Joel Kretz, Bruce Chandler, Shelly Short, David Taylor, J.T. Wilcox, and Ed Orcutt; and an April

27, 2012, letter from Senators Jim Honeyford and Mark Schoesler.

This is also the understanding and interpretation set forth in an April 19, 2012, letter to me from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon, the prime sponsor of House Bill 2253, where this language first appeared.

I have also received letters from stakeholders who participated in legislative proceedings related to this provision. stakeholders include the Association of Washington Cities, Washington State Association of Counties, Futurewise, Association of Washington Business, and the Washington Chapter of the American Planning Association. These letters affirm that the intent of Section 301 was to eliminate existing duplication between state natural resource programs, and not to amend any substantive SEPA requirements. 2012, joint letter from representatives environmental organizations notes that ESSB 6406 was the product of "a long and ultimately constructive negotiation amongst a diverse set of stakeholders," including their organizations: People for Puget Sound, Washington Conservation Voters, the Washington Environmental Council, and Climate Solutions. This letter quotes the language of Section 301 (2)(c)(ii) and states: "Throughout the bill negotiations, there was agreement amongst all parties that the intent of this subsection was to ensure simply that no new line items were added to the SEPA checklist in the process of the checklist update directed by section 301." However, the letter indicates that after the passage of this bill by the Senate and House, advisers to these organizations raised concerns that the language could be read to make broader changes in SEPA law.

After careful review, I have concluded that these assurances that the Legislature did not intend to limit the scope of SEPA review of adverse effects of climate change and greenhouse gases are fully supported. Section 1 of the bill expresses the Legislature's intent to maintain current levels of natural resource protection. Additionally, Section 301(2)(c) specifically references the environmental checklist found in WAC 197-11-960. The Legislature did not reference other steps in the SEPA process such as the threshold determination addressed in different sections of chapter 197-11 WAC. Nothing in the letters I have received or in the legislative discussions of this provision negates this understanding.

My action in approving Section 301 is taken with the intent that it will operate only to prohibit inclusion of any new subjects in the scope of the checklist, and that the subjects of climate change

and greenhouse gases will be considered in the environmental analysis required at the threshold determination stage of the SEPA process and in the environmental analysis required in a SEPA environmental impact statement. After consulting legal advisers, it is my understanding that this is the proper reading of this section of the bill and that this understanding will be considered by the courts when ascertaining legislative intent, as outlined in *Lynch v. State*, 19 Wn.2d 802 (1944). Without this understanding, I would have vetoed Section 301.

Concern has also been raised that there is a need for a meaningful civil enforcement capacity to support the state's Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) program. I share this concern and have asked the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife to clarify the current enforcement mechanisms through rule revision within the ongoing HPA rule update, and to implement an effectiveness survey to measure results.

I am also asking the Department to deliver the survey results to the Office of Financial Management, the Governor's Office, and the Legislature, with the intent to inform actions needed to create a more effective civil enforcement HPA program.

Amendments to the bill in the final day of the 2012 1st Special Session removed the explicit authority for local governments to collect a fee to recover their costs for a SEPA environmental impact statement prepared in support of certain land use plans. However, remnants of the original fee proposal that are no longer meaningful were left in the bill. Section 305 allows local governments to recover the costs of a SEPA environmental impact statement for certain land use plans from either state funds or private donations. Local governments are already authorized to accept funding from these sources. Section 306 refers to fees that are no longer authorized in Section 305. These two sections of the bill have the potential to create confusion with the existing authorities of local governments.

For these reasons, I have vetoed Sections 305 and 306 of Second Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 6406.

With the exception of Sections 305 and 306, Second Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 6406 is approved."